

4467

Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)  
hfrahn@stblaw.com  
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP  
2550 Hanover Street  
Palo Alto, CA 94304  
Telephone: (650) 251-5000  
Facsimile: (650) 251-5002

*Attorneys for Defendants  
Chimei Innolux Corporation,  
Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and  
CMO Japan Co., Ltd.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 3:10-cv-03517-SI

MDL No. 3:07-md-1827-SI

This Document Relates to Individual  
Case No. 3:10-cv-03517-SI

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER REGARDING TIME TO  
RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT**

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

1 The undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, hereby respectfully request  
2 an extension of the deadline for Defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation (f/k/a Chi Mei  
3 Optoelectronics Corporation), Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and CMO Japan Co., Ltd.  
4 (collectively, the “Chi Mei Defendants”), and Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., and  
5 Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc. (collectively, the “Hitachi Defendants”), to respond to the  
6 amended complaint filed by Plaintiff State of Florida on April 13, 2011, in the above-captioned  
7 litigation (the “Amended Complaint”).

8 WHEREAS the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants, jointly with other Defendants  
9 in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on May 20, 2011;

10 WHEREAS the Court entered an order denying Defendants’ joint motion to dismiss the  
11 Amended Complaint on September 15, 2011;

12 WHEREAS on September 29, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending Defendants’  
13 deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to October 28, 2011;

14 WHEREAS on October 26, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending the Chi Mei and  
15 Hitachi Defendants’ deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to November 11, 2011;

16 WHEREAS on November 16, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending the Chi Mei  
17 and Hitachi Defendants’ deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to December 9, 2011;

18 WHEREAS on December 15, 2011, the Court entered an order on extending the Chi Mei  
19 and Hitachi Defendants’ deadline to answer the Amended Complaint to January 5, 2012;

20 WHEREAS Plaintiff State of Florida, on the one hand, and the Chi Mei and Hitachi  
21 Defendants, on the other, have agreed to a settlement in principle of the above-captioned litigation  
22 and have now memorialized their respective settlements;

23 WHEREAS on December 23, 2011, Plaintiff State of Florida joined in a motion seeking  
24 preliminary approval of its settlements with the Chi Mei Defendants and the Hitachi Defendants;

25 WHEREAS on December 27, 2011, the Court entered an order advancing the date on the  
26 hearing of the preliminary approval motion to January 20, 2012;

27  
28

1 WHEREAS the parties would benefit from an extension of time to answer as the Court  
2 considers the preliminary approval motion;

3 WHEREAS extending the time for the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants to  
4 answer the Amended Complaint would not alter the date of any other event or deadline already  
5 fixed by the Court;

6 THEREFORE, Plaintiff State of Florida and the Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi  
7 Defendants, by their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

8 The Chi Mei Defendants and Hitachi Defendants will have until February 7, 2012 to answer  
9 the Amended Complaint.

10 Dated: January 3, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

11 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE  
12 OF FLORIDA

13 By: /s/ Nicholas J. Weilhammer  
Nicholas J. Weilhammer

14  
15 R. Scott Palmer  
16 Elizabeth A. Brady  
17 Nicholas J. Weilhammer (*pro hac vice*)  
18 Eli Friedman  
19 Office of the Attorney General  
20 State of Florida  
21 PL-01, The Capitol  
22 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050  
23 (850) 414-3300 / (850) 488-9134  
24 nicholas.weilhammer@myfloridalegal.com

25 SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP

26 By: /s/ Harrison J. Frahn IV  
27 Harrison J. Frahn IV

28 Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)  
hfrahn@stblaw.com  
SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP  
2550 Hanover Street  
Palo Alto, CA 94304  
Telephone: (650) 251-5000  
Facsimile: (650) 251-5002

*Attorneys for Defendants  
Chimei Innolux Corporation,  
Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., and  
CMO Japan Co., Ltd.*

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By: /s/ Kent M. Roger  
/s/ Kent M. Roger

Kent M. Roger (SBN 95987)  
One Market, Spear Street Tower  
San Francisco, California 94105  
Telephone: (415) 442-1001  
Facsimile: (415) 442-1001

*Attorney for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi  
Displays, Ltd., and Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.*

1 Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this  
2 document has been obtained from all parties whose signatures are indicated by a “confirmed”  
3 signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.

4  
5 Dated: January 3, 2012

/s/ Harrison J. Frahn IV

6 Harrison J. Frahn IV (SBN 206822)  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Having considered the foregoing stipulation, and for good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2012

By \_\_\_\_\_  
HON. SUSAN ILLSTON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE